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1. Paulson obtained an optimum solution to the $K$-sample slippage problem for the normal distributions with common variances. He considered the case when one of the populations might have slipped as regards its mean to the right by a specified amount $\Delta(\Delta>0)$, the means of the remaining populations being equal. This restriction of only one population slipping is relaxed here and it is shown that this procedure is unbiased in the sense that the probability of incorrect choice never exceeds probability of correct choice among the $K+1$ decisions namely :-

$$
D_{0} \text { the decision that } m_{1}=m_{2}=\ldots=m_{k}
$$

and

$$
D_{i} \text { the decision that } m_{i}=\operatorname{Max}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)
$$

where $\pi_{i}$ is the $i$-th population distributed as $N\left(m_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
2. Without loss of generality we may confine our attention to a single observation from each of the populations, i.e., $x_{i}$ is a single observation from $\pi_{i}$ and is independently normally distributed with mean $m_{i}$ and common variance $\sigma^{2} / n$ and an observation $S$ independently distributed with the probability density function

$$
f(S, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} e^{-\sin S^{2} / \sigma^{2}}\left(\frac{n S^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)^{(n-3) / 2} \frac{n S}{\sigma^{2}}}
$$

[^0]Then the procedure $d$ suggested by Paulson is defined as:-
Take decision $D_{i}$ if

$$
\frac{\left(x_{M}-\bar{x}\right)}{\sqrt{K(n-1) S^{2}+n \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \geqslant C / \dot{x}_{M}=x_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots K
$$

and $D_{0}$ if

$$
\frac{\left(x_{M}-\bar{x}\right)}{\sqrt{K(n-1) S^{2}+n \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}<C,
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\left(x_{\bar{M}}-\bar{x}\right)}{\sqrt{K(n-1) S^{2}+n \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \geqslant C / \omega_{0}\right]=\alpha
$$

$\omega_{0}$ is $\omega:\left(m_{1}=m_{2}=\ldots:=m_{K}, \sigma^{2}\right), \omega$ being the parameter point $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{K}, \mathrm{v}^{2}\right)$

$$
x_{M}=\operatorname{Max}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{K}\right), \bar{x}=\sum_{1}^{K} \frac{x_{i}}{\bar{K}} .
$$

The unbiased property that the probability of incorrect choice never exceeds the probability of correct choice is equivalent to showing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \operatorname{Pr}\left[D_{0} / \omega_{0}\right] \geqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left[D_{0} / \omega\right] \\
& \text { (ii) } \operatorname{Pr}\left[D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right] \geqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left[D_{j} / \omega_{i}\right], \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, K, i \neq j \\
& \text { (iii) } \operatorname{Pr}\left[D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right] \geqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left[D_{i} / \omega_{0}\right], \quad i=1,2, \ldots, K \\
& \quad \omega_{i}=\omega:\left[m_{i}=\operatorname{Max}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \dot{m}_{3}, \ldots, m_{K}\right), \sigma^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. In order to prove the first property we have only to show that $\operatorname{Pr}\left(t_{M} \leqslant c\right)$ is maximum for $\omega_{0}$ for all $\sigma$,
where

$$
t_{M}=\frac{\left(x_{M}-\bar{x}\right)}{\sqrt{K(n-1) S^{2}+n \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}
$$

$\operatorname{Pr}\left(t_{M} \leqslant c\right)$ is obtained as follows:-
Joint distribution of the ranked observations $x_{[K]}>x_{[k-1]} \ldots \ldots>x_{[1]}$ and $S$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n^{K / 2}}{(\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma)^{K}} \cdot \quad \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots j_{K}} \quad \cdots \quad \text { Exp. } \\
& j_{1} \neq j_{2}=f_{1} \not j_{3} \neq, \ldots \neq j_{K} ; j_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, j_{K}=1,2, \ldots, K \\
& -\frac{n}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(x_{[i]}-m_{j_{i}}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{K} d x_{[i]} f(\dot{S}, \dot{\sigma}) d S .
\end{aligned}
$$

On transforming the above by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i-1}^{i}=\frac{\sqrt{ } n}{S \sigma \sqrt{i(i-1})}\left[(i-1) x_{[i 1}-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{[j]}\right], i=2,3, \ldots, K . \\
& y_{K}=\frac{\sqrt{ } n}{S \sigma \sqrt{K}}\left[x_{[1]}+x_{[2 j}+\ldots . x_{[k]}\right], \quad S=S
\end{aligned}
$$

and denoting

$$
m_{i}-\bar{m}=\delta_{i}, i=1,2 ; \ldots, K-1, m_{K}:-\bar{m}=-\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \delta_{i}=\delta_{K} .
$$

We get after integrating out $y_{K}$ ( $y_{K}$ is integrated as the definition of the region $t_{M} \leqslant c$ does not involve it) the joint distribution of $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{K-1}$ and $S$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2 \pi})} f_{K-1}(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \quad \sum_{\substack{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{K}}} \quad \text { Exp. } \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum _ { i = 2 } ^ { K - 1 } \left(y_{i-1} S-\frac{(i-1) \delta_{j_{i}}-\delta_{j_{2}}-\delta_{j_{2}}-\ldots \ldots-\delta_{j_{i-1}-1}}{\sqrt{i(i-1)})^{2} j_{2} \neq \ldots \not f_{K} ; j_{1} j_{2} \cdots, j_{K}=1,2, \ldots, K}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(y_{K-1} S-\frac{K \delta_{j_{K}}}{\sqrt{K(K-1)}}\right)^{2}\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(t_{M} \leqslant c / \delta_{1}, \ldots \delta_{K-1}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S ; \sigma) S^{K} d S \\
& \quad \times \int_{B} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{ } 2 \pi)^{K-1}} \quad \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{K}} \quad \text { Exp. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum_{i=2}^{K-1}\left(y_{i-1} S-\frac{(i-1) \delta_{j_{i}}-\delta_{j_{1}}-\delta_{j_{2}}-\ldots \delta_{j i-1}}{\sqrt{ } i(i-1)}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(y_{K-1} S-\frac{K \delta_{j_{K}}}{\sqrt{K(K-1)}}\right)^{2}\right\}_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$B$ is the space defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2} \sqrt{ } 3>y_{1}>0, y_{3} \sqrt{ } 2>y_{2}>0, \ldots y_{i} \sqrt{\frac{i+1}{i-1}>y_{i-1}} \\
& \quad>0, \ldots y_{K-1} \sqrt{\frac{K}{K-2}}>y_{K-2}>0, c>t_{M} \\
& \\
& \quad=\frac{y_{K-1} \sqrt{\frac{K-1}{K}}}{\sqrt{\frac{K n(n-1)}{\sigma^{2}}+n \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} y_{i}{ }^{2}}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B} \frac{K!}{\left(\sqrt{2} \pi_{j}^{K-1}\right.} \operatorname{Exp} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=2}^{K-1} y^{2}{ }_{i-1} S^{2}\right)+\left(y^{2}{ }_{k-1} S^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{l=1}^{K-1} d y_{i}=1-a .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\operatorname{Pr}\left(t_{M} \leqslant c / \omega\right)$ (denote it by $P$ ) is maximum at $\omega_{0}$ if, for $i=1,2$, $\therefore \therefore ; K \div 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial P}{\partial \delta_{i}}=0  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{i}^{2}} \text { in negative } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and the principal minors of $(K-1)$ th order matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{i} \partial \delta_{j}} \cdots\right\| \text { are negative if of odd order, and positive if of } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

even order
at $\delta_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, K-1$, for all values of $S^{2}$, since these values of $\delta_{i}$ 's define $\omega_{0}$.

Because of symmetry of $\delta$ 's in (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial P}{\partial \delta_{i}}=\frac{\partial P}{\partial \delta_{1}} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, K-1 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{i}{ }^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1}{ }^{2}} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, K-1 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{i} \partial \delta_{j}}=\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}} \quad i \neq j, i, j=1,2, \ldots, K-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the conditions (2) and (3) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial P}{\partial \delta_{1}}=0  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1}^{2}} \text { is negative } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

at $\delta_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, K-1$.
And the $t$-th principal minor of the matrix

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{i} \partial \delta_{j}}\right\|
$$

reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}}\right)^{t}\left\{\frac{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \dot{P}}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}}\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}}}\right\}^{t-1}\left\{\frac{t+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}}\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}}}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing (1) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B}^{\infty} \frac{1 \cdots}{\left.(\sqrt{2})^{K}\right)^{K-1}} \\
& \times \quad \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{K}} \\
& { }_{j_{1} \neq j_{2} \neq \ldots} \ldots \neq j_{K} ; j_{1} j_{2}, \ldots, j_{K}=1,2 \ldots, k \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(A_{i} S-\delta_{j_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i}  \tag{8}\\
& y_{i-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{i}(i-1)}}\left(\overline{i-1} A_{i}-A_{1}-A_{2}-\ldots .-A_{i-1}\right), \\
& A_{K}=-\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} A_{i} \quad i=2, \therefore \therefore K,
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{ } 2 \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{j_{1} \neq j_{i} \neq \ldots \neq j_{K}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots \ldots, j_{K}=1,2, \ldots, K_{0}}}\left\{\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2}\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(A_{j_{i}} S-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}_{i=1}^{M_{i}} d y_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

differentiating with regard to $\delta_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{k} d S \int_{B} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2 \pi})^{K-1}} \\
& \times \quad \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots \ldots, j_{K}}^{\sum} \quad\left\{\left(A_{j_{1}} S-A_{j_{K}} S-2 \delta_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \therefore j_{1} \not f_{2} \neq \ldots, f_{j_{K}}, s_{11} j_{1} \ldots j_{K}=1,2 \ldots K \\
& \left.\left.-\sum_{i=2}^{K-1} \ddot{\delta}_{i}\right)\left(\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K-1}\left(A_{j_{i}} S-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i}  \tag{10}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \delta_{1}}\right)_{\delta_{i^{=} 0}}^{i=1,2_{2} \ldots, K-1}<1=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{ } 2 \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times\left\{\text { Exp. }-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} A_{i}{ }^{2} S^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} d y_{i}=0 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

(i)
differentiating (10) with regard to $\delta_{i}$, and putting $\delta_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots$, $\dot{K}-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1}^{2}}\right)_{\delta_{i=0}}^{i=1,2, \ldots K-1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{ } 2 \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times\left\{\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K_{i}} A_{i}{ }^{2} S^{2}\right\} \\
& \times[ \\
& \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{K}} \\
& \left.\left\{\left(A_{j_{1}}-A_{j_{6}}\right)^{2} S^{2}-2\right\}\right] \\
& j_{1} \neq j_{2} \neq \ldots \neq j_{K} ; j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{K}=1,2, \ldots,{ }_{K} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B} \frac{2 K \mid K-2}{\left(\sqrt{2 \pi)^{K-1}}\right.} \\
& \times\left\{\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} A_{i}{ }^{2} S^{2}\right\}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\binom{A_{i} S}{1}^{2}-(0-1)\right\} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B} \frac{2 K \mid K-2}{\left(\sqrt{2 \pi)^{K-1}}\right.} \\
& \times\left\{\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} y_{i}{ }^{2} S^{2}\right\} \\
& \times\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} y_{i}^{2} S^{2}-(K-1)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

On transforming to polar co-ordinates, the above integral reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S_{\theta\left(\theta_{2}, \ldots \theta_{K-2}\right) \epsilon_{00}}^{\cos ^{K-1} \theta_{1} \cos ^{K-2} \theta_{2} \ldots \cos \theta_{K-3}} \\
& \quad \times d \theta_{1} \ldots . d \theta_{K-2} \int_{r=0}^{\phi} \int_{0,1} \frac{2 K \mid K-2}{(\sqrt{2} \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times\left\{\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2}(r S)^{2}\right\}\left\{r^{2} \dot{S}^{2}-"(K-1)\right\} r^{K-2} d r . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The transformed domain of integration lies in the positive quadrant and can be shown to be given by $\theta \leqslant r \leqslant \phi(c, \theta)$ and $\theta \epsilon \omega(\theta)$, where

$$
\phi(c, \theta)=\frac{c}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{K n(n-1)}{\frac{K-1}{K} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-n c^{2}}}
$$

and $\omega(\theta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\theta_{K-i}<\cot ^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{i+1}}{i-1}} \operatorname{cosec} \theta_{K-i+1}, i=3,4, \ldots K-1 \\
& \quad 0<\dot{\theta}_{K^{\prime}-2}<\cot ^{-1} \sqrt{ } 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the sign of the integral (13) depends only on the sign of the integral with respect to $r$. Since $S^{2}$ is positive, $S^{2}$ can be taken as 1 without any loss of generality for the consideration of the sign of integral, as will be apparent from a transformation $r S=Z$ :
Taking $c^{\prime}$ for $\phi(c, \theta)$, which is positive, the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{c^{\prime}}\left\{r^{2}-(K-1)\right\} r^{\dot{K}-2} e^{-\frac{1+2}{2}} d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{c^{\prime}}\left(r^{K}-K r^{K-1}\right) c^{-\frac{3}{2} 2} d r+K \int_{0}^{e^{\prime}}\left(r^{K-1}-(K-1) r^{K-2}\right) e^{-3 r^{2}} d r \\
& +(K-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{d r}\left\{r^{K-2}-(K-2) r^{K-3}\right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}} d r+(K-1)^{2} \\
& \times(K-2) \int_{0}^{0}\left\{r^{K-3}-(K-3) r^{K-4}\right\} e^{-3 r^{2}} d r+(K-1)^{2} \\
& \times(K-2)(K-3) \int_{0}^{0}\left\{r^{K-4}-(K-4) r^{K-3}\right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}+2} d r \\
& \text { +...................................................... } \\
& +(K-1)^{2}(K-2)(K-3) \ldots 3 \int_{0}^{0^{0}}\left(r^{2}-2 r\right) e^{-3 r^{2}} d r \\
& +(K-1)^{2}(K-2)(K-3) \ldots 2 \int_{0}^{0^{\prime}}(r-1) e^{-1 r^{2}} d r \\
& =\left|-r^{K} e^{-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}}\right|_{0}^{c^{\prime}}+K\left|-r^{K-1} e^{-3 r^{2}}\right|_{0}^{0^{\prime}} \\
& +(K-1)^{2}\left|-r^{K-2} e^{-\frac{12}{2} r^{2}}\right|_{0}^{e^{\prime}} \\
& +(K-1)^{2}(K-2)\left|-r^{K-3} e^{-+7 r^{2}}\right|_{0}^{\circ \circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(K-1)^{2}(K-2)(K-3)\left|-r^{k-4} e^{-\mathrm{z} r} \cdot\right|_{0}^{0_{0}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(K-1)^{2}(K-2)(K-3) \ldots .3\left|-r^{2} e^{-3 r^{2}}\right|_{0}^{0 \prime} \\
& +\left(K^{*}-1\right)^{2}(K-2)(K-3) \ldots \ldots . .2 \cdot\left|-r e^{-\frac{1 r}{} r^{2}}\right|_{0}^{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

is negative for all $c^{\prime}$, each of the terms being negative, therefore (13) is negative and so $\partial^{2} P / \partial \delta_{1}{ }^{2}$ is negative.

Differentiating with respect to $\delta_{2}$ and putting $\delta_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots$, $K-1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial \delta_{1} \partial \delta_{2}}\right)_{\delta_{i}=0}=\int_{i=1,2}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{0} \frac{K \mid K-2}{(\sqrt{2 \pi})^{K-1}} \\
& \\
& \quad \times\left\{\operatorname{Exp}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} A_{i}^{2} S^{2}\right\} \\
& \\
& \quad \times\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{K-1}\left(A_{i} S\right)^{2}-(K-1)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i:}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing with (12) we find that its value is half of $\partial^{2} P / \partial \delta_{1}{ }^{2}$ and is negative.

Conditions (5) and (6) are satisfied because of (11) and (13). From the above it follows that the $t$-th principal minor of the matrix $\left\|\partial^{2} P / \partial \delta_{i} \partial \delta_{j}\right\|$ as expressed at (7) is positive when $t$ is even and negative when $t$ is odd. This completes the proof of properties (2) to (4) and hence (i) is proved.
4. To prove the second property we will first obtain $\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{\mathrm{a}} / \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{j} / \omega_{i}\right) . \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right)$ is obtained by writing down the joint distribution of $S$ and ordered observations $\dot{x}_{[K]}>x_{[K-1]}>\ldots>x_{[1]}$ under the restriction that $x_{[K]}$ is drawn from the $i$-th population, and can be readily written down from (9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} \mid \omega_{i}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{k} d S \int_{B}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{2} \pi \pi^{K-1}\right.} e^{-\frac{1\left(A_{1} s-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}}{}} \\
& \sum_{\sum_{i} j_{K}} \ldots e^{-i=1} \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(A_{j l} S-\delta_{l}\right)^{2} \\
& j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{i} K \text { except } j_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{\beta^{\prime}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2} \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times \quad \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots J_{K} \text { except } j_{i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1} S-\delta_{8}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{j j} S-\delta_{j}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i=i \neq j}}^{\sum_{i=1}^{K}}\left(A_{i j} S-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$B^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2} \sqrt{ } 3>y_{1}>0, \quad y_{3} \sqrt{ } 2>y_{2}>0, \ldots, y_{i} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{i+1}}{i-1}} \\
& \quad>y_{i-1}>0, y_{K-1} \sqrt{\bar{K}-\bar{K}}>y_{K-2}>0, \\
& \sqrt{\frac{K-1}{n} \bar{K}}>t_{M}>c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{j} / \omega_{i}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{B} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{ } 2 \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times \quad \sum \quad e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{2} S-\delta_{j}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{j i} S-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}} \\
& f_{1} \ldots, j_{K} \text { except } j_{1} j_{1} \neq j_{2} \neq \ldots \neq j_{K} \\
& g_{1}, j_{1}, \ldots, s_{K}=2, \ldots K \\
& -{ }_{\substack{\frac{1}{2} \\
i \neq i=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{K}\left(A_{j l} S-\delta_{l}\right)^{2}{ }^{K} \prod_{1}^{K-2} d y_{i} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{K} d S \int_{\theta} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2} \pi)^{K-1}} \\
& \times \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots j_{K}, \text { except } j_{i} j_{1} \neq f_{j} \neq \ldots \ldots \neq j_{K}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1} S-\delta_{j}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{j j} S-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{~s}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{K}}=2, \ldots, K \\
& \times e^{-\sum_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underset{\substack{z=1 \\
\boldsymbol{z}=i \neq j}}{K}\left(A_{j l} S-\delta_{l} l^{2}\right.} \prod_{1}^{K-1} d y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to show that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right)-\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{j} / \omega_{i}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(S, \sigma) S^{R} d S \int_{B^{\prime}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{ } 2 \pi)^{K-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times e^{-\left(A_{1}{ }^{2}: S^{2}+A_{j j}{ }^{2} S^{2}\right)-\left(\delta_{i}{ }^{2}+\delta_{j}{ }^{2}\right)} e^{A_{1} S \delta_{i}+A_{j j} S \delta_{j}} \\
& \left.\times\left(1-e^{\left(\delta_{j}-\delta_{i}\right)\left(A_{1}-A_{j} S\right)}\right)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{K-1} d y_{i} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is so as

$$
\left[1-e^{\left(\delta_{j}-\delta_{i}\right)\left(A_{i}-A_{j}\right) S}\right]
$$

is positive, $A_{1}-A_{j j}$ being always positive and ( $\delta_{j}-\delta_{i}$ ) being negative [because $m_{i}=\operatorname{Max}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{\mathrm{3}}, m_{4}, \ldots, m_{K}\right)$ for $\omega_{i}$ ]. This proves the second property.
5. The third property, viz.,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{0}\right)
$$

is established as follows:-
We see from (9) and (14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{L} / \omega\right)=1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{o} / \omega\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{j} / \omega_{i}\right) \quad \text { (property ii) }
$$

from (15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{K} \sum_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{L} / \omega_{i}\right) \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{K}\left[1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{0} / \omega_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{0} / \omega_{i}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{0} / \omega_{0}\right): \text { (property i) }
$$

We get from (16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr} & \left(D_{i} / \omega_{i}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{K}\left[1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{0} / \omega_{0}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{K} \sum_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{L} / \omega_{0}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{0}\right) \quad(\text { from } 15)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\operatorname{Pr}\left(D_{i} / \omega_{0}\right)$ is same for all $i$, and this can be easily seen by putting $\delta$ 's equal to zero in (14).

## Summary

For the $K$-sample slippage problem Paulson suggested an optimum solution under certain restrictions on the parameter space. It is shown here that this procedure is desirable even when these restrictions are relaxed as this procedure is an unbiased one.
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